

Fiscal Note 2017 Biennium

Bill # HB0530			Generally revise criminal law for restoring rights to possess firearms			
Primary S	ponsor: Hill, Ellie Boldman		Status:	As Introd	uced	
	gnificant Local Gov Impact cluded in the Executive Budget	✓ Needs to be incl☐ Significant Long-			Technical Concerns Dedicated Revenue F	form Attached
		FISCAL	SUMMARY	Y		
		FY 2016 Difference	FY 201 Differen		FY 2018 Difference	FY 2019 Difference
Expendit General		\$25,558		3,316	\$23,666	\$24,021
Revenue: General		\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0

Description of fiscal impact: HB 530 revises laws related to the notification for the removal of or reinstatement of an individual's right to possess a firearm. In addition, the bill requires the Department of Justice to receive notices from courts related to the reinstatements and provide the information to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) for a national database. There is a fiscal impact to the Department of Justice for implementation.

(\$23,316)

(\$23,666)

(\$25,558)

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

Department of Justice

Net Impact-General Fund Balance:

- 1. It is estimated that there are approximately 100 involuntary commitments per month. Under HB 530, the court will provide the court order to the Department of Justice for these cases.
- 2. In addition, if the court restores a person's right to possess a firearm, the court will provide the court order to the Department of Justice.
- 3. It is estimated that an additional 0.50 FTE would be needed to handle the additional workload that would be required by HB 530. Personal services costs including salary and benefits would be \$21,697 in FY 2016 and \$21,655 in FY 2017. Operating costs are estimates to be \$1,661 annually.
- 4. It is estimated that the IT costs to gather requirements, design, build and test a new report for involuntary commitment disposition orders would be \$2,200.

(\$24,021)

5. A 1.5% inflation factor is applied in the 2019 biennium.

Judicial Branch

6. The number of district court cases and hearings may increase as a result of this legislation; however, the Judicial Branch is unable to estimate the impact on judicial workload or the fiscal impact. The cumulative impact of such legislation may eventually require additional judicial resources because court dockets currently are full in many judicial districts throughout the state.

	FY 2016 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2017 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2018 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2019 <u>Difference</u>				
Fiscal Impact:								
FTE	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50				
Expenditures:								
Personal Services	\$21,697	\$21,655	\$21,980	\$22,309				
Operating Expenses	\$3,861	\$1,661	\$1,686	\$1,711				
TOTAL Expenditures	\$25,558	\$23,316	\$23,666	\$24,021				
Funding of Expenditures:								
General Fund (01)	\$25,558	\$23,316	\$23,666	\$24,021				
TOTAL Funding of Exp	\$25,558	\$23,316	\$23,666	\$24,021				
Revenues:								
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
TOTAL Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):								
General Fund (01)	(\$25,558)	(\$23,316)	(\$23,666)	(\$24,021)				

Sponsor's Initials	Date	Budget Director's Initials	Date	